UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	Y	ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
ENEDINO BASURTO FRANCO, HUMBERTO	:	<u> </u>
GALINDO OLIVERA, WILVER BASURTO FRANCO, and FIDEL GASPAR AYALA, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,	: : :	1:21-cv-02544-GHW
Plaintiffs,	: :	<u>ORDER</u>
-against-	· :	
AGORA TURKISH RESTAURANT INC. (D/B/A AGORA), MUSTAFA SELCUK OZEN A.K.A. SERCHU A.K.A. CACHANDO, and SEMA OZASLAN OZE,	: : : :	
Defendants.	: : :	
	- X	

## GREGORY H. WOODS, District Judge:

The Court has been advised that the parties have reached a settlement in this case, which includes claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act (the "FLSA"). The parties are directed to proceed in one of the two ways described below. The first alternative describes the process that the parties must follow if they wish to dismiss FLSA claims *with prejudice*. The second alternative describes a process that the parties may follow if they wish to dismiss FLSA claims *without prejudice*.

(1) Judicial Approval and Order of Dismissal of FLSA Claims with Prejudice under Rule 41(a)(2). In light of the decision of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals in Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc., 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015), which held that the FLSA falls within the "applicable federal statute" exception to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A), the parties cannot dismiss claims arising under the FLSA with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A). The holding of Cheeks does not apply to claims arising under any statute other than the FLSA. As a result, in order to dismiss an FLSA claim with prejudice, the parties must seek court approval of the proposed dismissal under Rule 41(a)(2). In order to seek such approval, the parties are directed to proceed as follows:

(a) First, the parties are ORDERED to discuss whether they are willing to consent, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to conducting all further proceedings before the assigned Magistrate Judge. If both parties consent to proceed before the Magistrate Judge, the parties must, no later than July 12, 2021 file on ECF a fully executed Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge form, a copy of which is attached to this order (and is also available at <a href="https://nysd.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/2018-06/AO-3.pdf">https://nysd.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/2018-06/AO-3.pdf</a>). The executed form should be filed on ECF as a "Proposed Order," and be described using the "Consent Order" filing event in accordance with ECF Rule 13.18. If the Court approves that form, all further proceedings, including the evaluation of the proposed settlement, will then be conducted before the assigned Magistrate Judge rather than before me. Any appeal would be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, as it would be if the consent form were not signed and so ordered.

If either party does not consent to conducting all further proceedings before the assigned Magistrate Judge, the parties must file a joint letter, no later than July 12, 2021, advising the Court that the parties do not consent, **but without disclosing the identity of the party or parties who do not consent**. The parties are free to withhold consent without negative consequences.

(b) Second, if the parties do not consent to conduct all further proceedings before the assigned Magistrate Judge, they shall instead submit to the Court by July 19, 2021 a joint motion via ECF setting forth their views as to why their settlement is fair and should be approved. The motion must address the considerations detailed in Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d 332 (S.D.N.Y. 2012), and must include a copy of the settlement agreement itself, attached as an exhibit. The parties are advised that the Court will not approve settlement agreements that contain a confidentiality provision, and that the Court will not permit the parties to file any portion of any document related to the Court's evaluation of the proposed settlement under seal unless they have first made a particularized showing of the need for the relevant information to be sealed that rebuts the presumption of public access to judicial documents.

If the settlement includes attorney's fees, the parties should also address the reasonableness

of the fees to be awarded under the framework set forth in Goldberger v. Integrated Resources, Inc., 209

F.3d 43, 50 (2d Cir. 2000). Plaintiffs' attorneys must also attach as an exhibit detailed attorney time

records for the Court's review, as the Second Circuit "encourage[s] the practice of requiring

documentation of hours as a 'cross check" even in cases in which the fees awarded are a percentage

of the total award. Id. (citation omitted).

(2) Stipulation of Dismissal Without Prejudice under Rule 41(a)(1)(A). The court in Cheeks

expressly reserved decision with respect to voluntary dismissals of FLSA claims without prejudice

under Rule 41(a)(1)(A). As a result, the Court will accept a stipulation of dismissal under Rule

41(a)(1)(A), so long as the parties' stipulation of dismissal dismisses claims arising under the FLSA

without prejudice. If the parties wish to resolve the case by a stipulation that dismisses FLSA claims

without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A), they are directed to submit such a

stipulation by July 12, 2021. The executed stipulation should be filed on ECF as a "Proposed

Order," and be described using the "Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal" filing event in accordance

with ECF Rule 13.18.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 28, 2021

New York, New York

GREGORY H. WOODS
United States District Judge

3

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## for the

Sou	thern District of New York	
Plaintiff V. Defendant	) ) Civil Action No. )	
NOTICE, CONSENT, AND REFERE	ENCE OF A CIVIL ACTION TO A MAGIST	FRATE JUDGE
Notice of a magistrate judge's availability proceedings in this civil action (including a jury or then be appealed directly to the United States cour exercise this authority only if all parties voluntar	t of appeals like any other judgment of this court	gment. The judgment may
You may consent to have your case referresubstantive consequences. The name of any party be involved with your case.	ed to a magistrate judge, or you may withhold you withholding consent will not be revealed to any	
Consent to a magistrate judge's authorite conduct all proceedings in this case including tri	y. The following parties consent to have a Unit al, the entry of final judgment, and all post-trial	
Parties' printed names	Signatures of parties or attorneys	Dates
	Reference Order	
IT IS ORDERED: This case is referred order the entry of a final judgment in accordance	to a United States magistrate judge to conduct with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73	
Date:	District Judge's sign	ature
	Printed name and	title

Note: Return this form to the clerk of court only if you are consenting to the exercise of jurisdiction by a United States magistrate judge. Do not return this form to a judge.

Print Save As... Reset